

Wed, May 03, 2023 4:54PM • 1:35:31

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

board, work, edwards, sb, charles, recommendations, put, list, motion, nrs, nevada, amended, governor, regulations, office, license, change, number, meeting, legislature

SPEAKERS

Dr. Sean Devlin, Charles Green, Mr. Joel Becker, Dr. David Edwards, Kathleen Peele, Dr. Bruce Fong

Dr. Sean Devlin 00:00

Keep on going.

Charles Green 00:00

Let me make a motion to table this for another agenda item to consider floundering AP ah, it's I don't know how to put it. You know.

Dr. David Edwards 00:18

Mr. Green, could you add to that may possibly Mr. Becker could comb through the statutes and see, is there a way that we can kind of been the law to take care of this situation?

Mr. Joel Becker 00:41

Like, bending? Yeah,

Dr. Bruce Fong 00:44

you're really going to require a legislative change for to do that. With you. Okay.

Charles Green 00:54

All right. So let's go back to we had move on. Did you motion?

Dr. Sean Devlin 00:58

Motion? Okay. So table this to a future meeting? Yes. Okay. Do I have a second? Okay, I'm not hearing a second at this point. So we'll be we'll be moving on. Well,

Charles Green 01:20

I just hate to see a man be put out of work. That's that's done nothing wrong. But that's my take on that. All

Dr. Sean Devlin 01:29

right, hear that? Thank you.

Charles Green 01:31

Moving on, let me remind our physicians that regarding changes in a pH and H A employment of their supervisor, when there's an address change, the board must be notified within 10 days of that change. And a new statement of supervision for that HMD must be resubmitted. That's just a foreign information only. Now we'll move on to the big issue, agenda eight, which is a discussion on the governor of the Bardot's executive order 003. Order freezing the issuance of new regulations, and requiring a review of the existing regulations to all executive branch agencies, departments, boards, and commissions,

boards recommendations to the governor's office. It's due by the first I don't have a lot of time to submit this. The OA G's office has made recommendation graciously Dr. Edwards has made recommendations. We need to advise of any potential public recommendations. reminder that the public workshop for comments will be held this Friday at 630 April 28 2003, and a Zoom meeting and that link is up on our website. So I like to put that up there. So let's go ahead and start in on I guess, I've got 004 as well, which is the order directing all Nevada occupational and professional licensing boards to suspend the issuance of any new regulation show cause for all occupational licensing requirements, and to provide a recommendation pathway for facilitating licensing, reciprocity. Board recommendations to the governor's office are due beginning of July July 1 of 2023.

Dr. Sean Devlin 03:29

Charles, do you want to talk a little bit about the OHS recommendations? And then Dr. Edwards recommendations concerning these

Charles Green 03:37

little Sure. I think it was in your packets gentleman.

Mr. Joel Becker 03:41

Just that'd be attorney general back and for the record. Let me just remind everyone that this report. First of all, it's just a report to the governor's office with recommendations. Every everything is still going to have to go through the complete legislative process. After all the reports have been accepted, reviewed, and feedback given. So it's not I think Dr. Edwards, we might have seen things a little bit different on this or had some miscommunication. It's not that these are going to be chopped off right away. These are not regulation that are going to be boom x by the end of May. It's going to have to be the whole process based on the reports given if we don't have and it's also two parts. The first part of executive order double O three. And it's not just for amendments, outside regulations that you want to get rid of entirely, but there's also section for amendments that you might want to amend, streamline, reduce things like that, just based on language, so All right now we'll take all recommendations if we have more than 10. Great. So maybe we could combine both my ranking my recommendation to Dr. Edwards, or see where we have common ground and add what's missing, or whatever the situation may be. But it's not an end all be all situation right now. It's still gonna have to go through LCB and all these processes. All right. Anyone have any actual questions on the executive order? Double? Oh, three? First.

Charles Green 05:36

I have a question. If we go through these and we make those recommendations, can those recognition be changed a week from now 10 days from now, three weeks from now, before they've acted on it?

Mr. Joel Becker 05:48

Okay, so once our report goes in, the report is in we can't change the report. But when it comes time for the actual legislation to say, Okay, let's take a look at it. If there's any more that you want to add, I'm sure we can add them there, especially if it's going to be reducing or amending in, you know, in the spirit of the executive order to reduce clutter, reduce unnecessary regulations.

Charles Green 06:20

Okay, let me ask you this, then it was so we need to actually go these one by one and either vote yea or nay on whether it makes the list.

Mr. Joel Becker 06:30

That this is what we did in the athletic commission. We readied one we waited for any comments from the board on each. If there was no discussion we just went on. And at the end, we voted to approve the list as a whole. There wasn't much discussion about what we put on the top of block because we had just finished streamlining our regulations before the esteemed governor took over. So this is kind of redundant for us. But for you guys, your regulations had been written quite a while ago, and a quite different time and temperature and environment. So there's a lot you can work with.

Dr. David Edwards 07:24

This Dr. Edwards, Dr. Devlin, did you go through the regs to see which ones you thought could be eliminated?

Dr. Sean Devlin 07:29

I went through them. But I mean, to be honest with you, there's stuff that's pretty outdated. And I don't know if we want to change those. Nothing really jumped out at me, but I know the goal is to clean them up. But I have no like, I mean, there I have no real dog in the fight. In the sense, I'd like to see him updated. But there was nothing that stuck out to me that I said has to be gone.

Dr. David Edwards 07:53

So well. I thought we had to give them the governor list

Dr. Sean Devlin 07:59

via we do and that we're gonna give that list, we that's where we have this proposed workshop, some of the stuff we can do tonight, we can just go through it. And that's what I prefer to do. Because everyone's here.

Mr. Joel Becker 08:10

Right? What I would recommend, and this is, again, Deputy Attorney General backer for the record, is I would recommend reading off our two or three lists, putting what we have in common on the list, definitely on it. And if we reach 10, boom, we can be done. But if you want to add more, that's great. If we wind up a couple of regulations sigh I don't think it's the end of the world. I mean, he would like 10. But again, 10 is an arbitrary number that you know, it's good marketing.

Charles Green 08:43

Well, we can make that up, we have about 19. So I think I think

Mr. Joel Becker 08:50

I'm not sure if you're gonna want me to do it, or Mr. Green or whoever is going to actually put the report together. It's a little bit tedious. I did it for the Athletic Commission. It's a little tedious, it's definitely going to take some time. And the longer it is, the longer it's going to take.

Charles Green 09:13

And we'll stop at 10.

Dr. Sean Devlin 09:16

So with that being said, are there things that you guys would like to have just stricken out? Is there anything that just blatantly sticks out to you?

Dr. David Edwards 09:25

This is Edward several good to me that kind of jeopardizes the board to do its job. And again, I'm not arguing with Mr. Becker. But I'm not understanding why he chose some of them for instance, the six

3003 one committee to examine recommendations regarding education homeopathy, I think that should be struck. Because if you look in

09:49

there we'll make a note of that.

Dr. David Edwards 09:51

I think that one should that's number six on my list.

Dr. Sean Devlin 09:55

Okay, number six Tsar's

Charles Green 09:57

make recommendations regarding edging version of homeopathy. I think education is very important. So I would see I would hope that wouldn't be stricken. What

Dr. Sean Devlin 10:08

can these can these? Well, can we strike or amend? Or is it just

Dr. David Edwards 10:13

why don't we go through the list and make a motion to the ones that we agree to strike and just do it all at once? Okay. So that was one that bothered me was was that getting rid of the education thing? Now where else where else? Number nine, number nine in a sea consultation with another provider of health care. I don't understand why that's been struck out. It's perfectly reasonable.

Charles Green 10:41

I think Mr. Becker's stated, this is it through our, our NE sees this as it's somewhat obvious, and it seems to be more of a policy than a regulation.

Mr. Joel Becker 11:00

Are we looking at mileage now or doctor or doctor Edwige?

Dr. David Edwards 11:05

We're going through the list that Mr. Green sent to me. Instead, all 18 in numbers. So now we're talking about

Mr. Joel Becker 11:12

Ah, I see that list myself. Mr. Green. Shame on it in the meeting packet.

Charles Green 11:23

Is this attachment

Kathleen Peele 11:24

one bright?

Dr. Sean Devlin 11:26

Attachment one? Oh,

Mr. Joel Becker 11:27

let me scroll down. That's me more

Dr. Sean Devlin 11:31
proposed NAC regulations.

Mr. Joel Becker 11:36
View of 2022.

Charles Green 11:44
I can't remember where they had sent that portion of the packet to you're not Mr. Becker.

Mr. Joel Becker 11:56
They're the worst I sent to you. Unless you said

Charles Green 12:02
to me, there's 10 of them right there. So Right.

Mr. Joel Becker 12:13
All right. But I don't have an attacker. Can you just send me that document quickly an email and

Charles Green 12:24
unfortunately, I can't can.

12:27
Okay. All right.

Mr. Joel Becker 12:32
I'll go with your recommendations. You guys know your regs better than I did. I was asked for a recommendation of 10. I am not emotionally invested in my list.

Charles Green 12:46
Alright, so then I guess Dr. Edwards. Do you have a problem with number nine?

Dr. Sean Devlin 12:55
He wants to preserve it? I think.

Dr. David Edwards 12:56
No. Number nine, I'd like to have struck from the list.

Dr. Sean Devlin 13:00
Oh, you do? Okay. Okay. That doesn't make sense to you or what?

Charles Green 13:04
Mr. Becker?

Dr. David Edwards 13:06
List, take these off. And I would like No, left. Okay, like nine left on? Okay. Yes. Okay. I was I'm assuming this list is what we're going to recommend the governor to take off? Correct. Correct. So the ones I'm pointing out? I don't agree with taking them off the list. That didn't make sense to

Mr. Joel Becker 13:32

you for a little bit. I am.

Dr. Bruce Fong 13:35

I think I get what Mr. Dr. Evans is saying is that he's looking at the list. These are a list of all the NA C's that we're recommending the governor's office to ultimately eliminate from our chapter 638. I'm saying he wants to hear some of the ones that are on there. He's recommending that we don't put those forward for removal from the NACS

Mr. Joel Becker 13:57

is the way it is correct. You want them struck from the list of recommendations to be struck? Yes. Let's put it this way, where cert will throw a lifeline to what is it? 638 300 Is that the one you want? I'm getting confused

Dr. David Edwards 14:21

now.

Kathleen Peele 14:22

I thought it was 138

Mr. Joel Becker 14:25

on the list I have is not the list you have in front of you.

Charles Green 14:29

3131 38 138

Mr. Joel Becker 14:35

There you go. I got it. Okay. All right. I didn't think that needed to be codified but you know, that's up to you.

Dr. David Edwards 14:47

On on on my list. Number 15 304 100 applications for certificate for I think it's Ha's a pH. pH is Is this in NRS? Is this correct? Language?

Mr. Joel Becker 15:06

We don't. There's similar language in the NRS.

Charles Green 15:16

So essentially what he's saying is can be consolidated. Yeah.

Dr. David Edwards 15:22

Well, the difference is, as a former board member, in order to change NRS, we have to go through the legislative process in order to change NAC, the board does that. Yeah. All right. So does the Board want to get rid of the ability to come back and revisit these NACS and say, as Dr. Devlin was saying, they're all outdated. We got to redo them.

Charles Green 15:44

Which is, of course, he doesn't want to work. And I've only got three days before the governor's requiring us to submit something. We are

15:55
right 10.

Dr. David Edwards 15:58

We need 10 and a 10. I went through the NAC two and a half months ago, I tried to pick out the ones that would be least damaging to the board's ability to function but still allow the board to retain some sovereignty over its regulations. I don't agree with that.

Dr. Bruce Fong 16:19

And for the Board's full disclosure, I reviewed Dr. Edwards, his work and I concurred with his choices. Even I'm just having a voice here, but no vote, obviously.

Dr. Sean Devlin 16:30

Yeah, I just It's tough. It's it's in he's right. I mean, we're, you know, we're kind of handing over the control really, to legislation for them to dictate what happens with us and how we navigate

Dr. David Edwards 16:42

that not only you have to keep in mind, the last legislature wants a super board, they want to, they want to absorb all of these small boards, under one big board, which I think they wanted to do with the health department or something like that. And so we would lose, we may lose sovereignty there. Because this is our only ability to say we're self regulating organization. So for an application for an HJ we can change these, you know, we have to go through the process of being workshopping it and stuff and getting whatever, but the board has the ability to change it. If we eliminate and rely on NRS. We have no ability to do that.

Charles Green 17:26

Doctor, or excuse me, Mr. Becker, can can can we table this to the Friday's workshop where we need to do something tonight on this?

Mr. Joel Becker 17:35

What you should do tonight WGN general backer for the record, you should definitely come up with a complete list of as close to 10 as you can get. Have your report written, ready to go. So Friday, after your workshop, if there's any public comment, if there's anything that you know, the is so egregious to the public, that they rise up in sports and bring their actions and pitchforks and you say, Okay, maybe we need to change that make your changes. But it shouldn't be just where it's done. It's approved the public as their comment. And you know, Friday is really your last day, working day to get it out. Because Monday it has to be in

Dr. Sean Devlin 18:28

you. So Dr. Edwards, do you have that list? Do you want to go through those 1010

Dr. David Edwards 18:31

that I presented,

Dr. Sean Devlin 18:35

the ones that you like thought that would be the least harmful to us to get rid of?

Dr. David Edwards 18:39

You mean just to read off which which NACS?

Dr. Sean Devlin 18:42

Yeah which ones they were?

Dr. David Edwards 18:44

I do have a list around here somewhere. Thanks. Thanks, guys for bearing me in paperwork. I couldn't find anything. Okay, here it is. I found this is my original list that doesn't have the additions of Mr. Bacon. So one was NAC six 3003. One committee to examine recommendations for education. Okay. too. Two was temporary special restricted certificates. Okay. I think the board can function without these NDCs. Three was NAC 638 110, limited license, what number 631 101 10?

Charles Green 19:32

I numbered these one to nine. There's like one

Kathleen Peele 19:35

to 19 Can you like because he's working on a different list. Now he's on

Mr. Joel Becker 19:39

a different list. Okay, gotcha. So what you can do is, let's let's work like this. Dr. Edwards, give us a second give us the NAC that you want to let us find it on the list that we have in front of us. So we can all take a look at it together. And one by one knockabout. Are

Dr. David Edwards 20:01

you sure we don't have to have the ending time? No, no, we're good.

Mr. Joel Becker 20:06

Although it has been almost two and a half hours, maybe another 10 minute break. 15 minute break might be advisable. At some point.

Dr. David Edwards 20:16

I want to go to sleep.

Mr. Joel Becker 20:18

Because that was asleep.

Dr. David Edwards 20:21

I'm an old guy, man. I'm going to not offer you in the middle of the meeting.

Mr. Joel Becker 20:24

You and me both.

Dr. David Edwards 20:26

So if we, if we go with attachment one, you all have that attachment. Okay. The first one applied Kinesiology? I don't have any issues with that. Taking it off. We agree. Number two was my first 1031. Internet defined. Oh, 1310131. Internet define? We

Mr. Joel Becker 20:51

agree on that one. Number.

Charles Green 20:55

Yeah, my no one's going to record this because you're losing me

Dr. David Edwards 20:58

which ones we're going to present to the governor to get rid of. All right, so.

Charles Green 21:02

So I've got Nike, here. Give me the numbers again, so that I can circle them. Oh, 107.

Mr. Joel Becker 21:08

And oh, 131. We all I believe. I mean, they were my recommendation there Dr. Edwards recommendations. Anyone else on the board? Or I guess, you know,

Charles Green 21:24

you're gonna jot these down then, Mr. Becker, because I believe

Mr. Joel Becker 21:27

you wash. Yes. Good job.

Charles Green 21:32

I'm trying to so but I'm not finding that number.

Mr. Joel Becker 21:35

Just just get the last four digits of the NA we know as NAC 630-806-3080 131

Dr. Sean Devlin 21:40

Right. Right. So

Mr. Joel Becker 21:44

we're starting with 0107

Kathleen Peele 21:49

you're attached attachment one. It's number two, one. Yes. Number two.

Charles Green 21:53

All right. That helps me that helps. One.

Mr. Joel Becker 21:58

All right. The next 10131

Dr. David Edwards 22:01

You lost me internet define. I think that should go to the governor. Correct?

Mr. Joel Becker 22:06

Correct. We these are ones that right now.

Dr. David Edwards 22:11

Oh, 130132

Charles Green 22:13

I've got a master list here. So the first one was what?

Mr. Joel Becker 22:16

Oh, 107.

22:18

Grandpa

Mr. Joel Becker 22:24

just write down the numbers. Don't worry about what's alright.

Dr. David Edwards 22:34

Oh, 13130132 into it.

Dr. Sean Devlin 22:38

What do you think about that? Dr. Edwards,

Dr. David Edwards 22:40

go go to the oh, 133 electronic means

Charles Green 22:50

that's gotta go. Go ahead.

Dr. David Edwards 22:52

Oh, point 110 Limited License. Have we ever done that? Not really. Get rid of that. Oh, three, committee to examine make recommendations for education. I'm against that. I think that should not go to the government.

Dr. Bruce Fong 23:08

Okay. Strike that from the list.

Dr. David Edwards 23:12

That's my recommendation of the list. The attachment list. Okay, number seven. So number six should come off. Number six. So

Charles Green 23:21

I'm going to put a no on that.

Dr. David Edwards 23:24

Number six is my my, my vote will be taken off the list. Number seven. We do have people using amygdalin. I would I understand that but but that's covered under our orthomolecular stuff and stuff. So I think that should go to the governor. Yep. 1.38 number seven on your list, Mr. Green.

Charles Green 23:50

Number seven. What's the number again? Last three numbers.

Dr. David Edwards 23:54

32 sub paragraph three subparagraph a 132? Three a.

Charles Green 24:00

That's number seven.

24:01

Yes.

Mr. Joel Becker 24:05

We have a lot of similarities on Alicia Dr. Edwards.

Dr. David Edwards 24:12

I didn't make any comment on number eight. So give me a chance to read it here community embrace the entire customer circling pills. Just immense practitioners who are patient reasonably? I my feelings I think eight can go to the governor. I agree. Okay. Everybody else agrees. Number Number 8136 subparagraph. Two subparagraph 836. I think it defines community number 9138 consultation with another provider. I think that should not go to the government. I think we should encourage

Dr. Bruce Fong 24:50

collaboration. Strike that from the list.

Dr. David Edwards 24:53

Number nine off the list. Number 10.

Charles Green 24:58

Signal Okay. Good day. Okay,

Dr. David Edwards 25:01

Charles? Yes. Number 10 638 142 construction and provisions. Again, it's talking about interest state within the state that could go to the governor, you know, we're all in the state talking about covering HMDs or AHPS in Vegas for Renam. Don't limit our interstate, but it'll be a function that actually go. The next is number two again. Number Number Number 10. On your list, it's NAC 10.1 for two construction and provisions. So that's a good number. Let's

25:39

10 It is 10

Dr. Sean Devlin 25:42

That's almost it's eight I think because we kept to

Kathleen Peele 25:45

Oh, that's right.

Dr. David Edwards 25:47

Number 11. Homeopathic physician restrictions. Again, it's interstate interstate function so I don't understand the point of if you're in Reno you have to have some kind of permission to do it. And the Vegas I think that should go

26:03

now or what?

Dr. David Edwards 26:04

It's number 11 on your list, enter NAC point 144144 Got it. And then if you want more number 12 is the same thing. We keep using this interest state which you can easily read interstate that we can reach into other states. I'm already practicing and in Nevada, I didn't realize that was if I can go to Elko or something is that what this the same? So I think it should go to the governor and get rid of it. And then number 13 advanced practitioners. This is about the written state protocol, but it's about the practitioner practicing interest state, which is what we had discussion before about we got an HP in Vegas, we want a homeopathic person in Reno to to supervise them. That's interesting practice. I think this should go to the governor. We should get rid of it.

26:57

I agree. For a number number four,

Dr. David Edwards 27:03

number three teams. Okay. Number 12. Okay, on your list of 18 or 19. Number 14 petitions concerning regulations this, I've actually seen this happen on the board.

Mr. Joel Becker 27:16

Right, hold on a second. Dr. Edwards. What were the numbers of the regulations that you agreed should go to the governor numbers 12 and 13. There's a dark green, red and green as

Dr. David Edwards 27:29

number 12 and number 13. Know the

Mr. Joel Becker 27:31

NAC number 101. For you.

Charles Green 27:33

Number Number 12 was 144. What was number 13 1464646. Thank you.

Dr. David Edwards 27:40

And number 13 is 148. Point

27:45

you're taking off. They're

Dr. David Edwards 27:47

going they're kaput. Yeah. Number 14 With the internet now, Mr. Green, we don't need to make seven copies of the NRS. We can just write. Right? So 14 can go. That's point two 4.250. Right. It doesn't make sense in the computer age. Where am I? 1414?

28:18

Or 1515? I

Dr. David Edwards 28:20

don't have a 15 was my 15

28:22

application for a certificate. Okay, I don't

Kathleen Peele 28:28
NAC 630. A point 500

Dr. David Edwards 28:32
Page backwards application for certificate? I would like to see that not go I think that should be removed from the list. I don't remember why I did it. But it didn't make sense to me

Dr. Sean Devlin 28:43
to do that. So both 304 100.

Dr. David Edwards 28:46
Yes. Because again, we may be hamstringing the board's ability to sop in a sovereign way to regulate itself. Okay. If we turn it over to some agency in five years that you know might be you know, the Department of Commerce or something we don't know. Right? Whatever. So I would take that off the list. 15 and 16 is it 16? No.

29:08
15 It's no 5015 That's all online?

Dr. David Edwards 29:14
No, take it off the list. 16 grounds for denial of certificate again, I think we should keep that I think that should come off the list

Charles Green 29:24
as that 410

29:27
dot 31031310 dot

Charles Green 29:31
410

Dr. David Edwards 29:33
I don't have a four Oh no, I have I have a next one is 17.310

29:39
This is 16.

Charles Green 29:42
Number 16.

Dr. David Edwards 29:44
Oh, I'm sorry, you have both together you have points going forward. What is point 410 say Does anybody happen?

Kathleen Peele 29:52
Yeah grounds for denial of certificate notice of denial.

Dr. David Edwards 29:57

So one is for Ha's one is for HDHPs Is that the difference? I don't have that in front of me. Because I would still keep it. Keep our soft, keep the future board sovereignty. My opinion?

30:11

I don't think it says

Dr. David Edwards 30:15

that maybe why didn't understand it? I don't think I could find it.

Kathleen Peele 30:18

Oh, as a homeopathic assistant.

Dr. David Edwards 30:21

Yeah, one of the HP want to be H. H HP, I'm guessing. But I

Mr. Joel Becker 30:29

could they possibly be combined into one statute?

Dr. David Edwards 30:33

Well, what I'm saying is I would take those off the list, and we can clean them up, clean them up later.

Mr. Joel Becker 30:38

Right? No, but my point is that there's another section in the report for regulation that you want to amend. Yeah. And if we're saying that, we like these, we can just combine them and say graspers, for denial of certificates for ABH and AAA, whatever the situation is, that would be, this would be a good time to do it and an easy way to get it done.

Dr. David Edwards 31:09

Rather than just keeping it off the list and doing it later, because I thought,

Mr. Joel Becker 31:12

it sounds like there are two separate lists that are going in the report. One is regulations to amend. And one is regulations to strike.

Dr. David Edwards 31:22

Oh, that's a whole nother kind of I don't even think about amending anything. Take them off. And

Mr. Joel Becker 31:30

that's what I said at the beginning of this.

Dr. David Edwards 31:32

Well, make it simple. I would take these off.

Mr. Joel Becker 31:37

Take them off the strike list, but leave them to a man. I'm saying you can just move them up to them.

Charles Green 31:42

can be so burdensome here? Yes. I,

Mr. Joel Becker 31:47

whatever you want to do, guys.

Charles Green 31:50

Yeah, I've tried to take as many notes as I can my head spinning. I don't want to screw this up. I'll go off the, the recording here as best I can. But I mean, just bury me a bunch of work isn't I gotta pull this through and get a deal. I got to have this done by Friday. And I have three businesses to run as well. So I

Mr. Joel Becker 32:10

mean, you're out the weekend. But you know, that's not exactly working days. So well.

Charles Green 32:17

Our meeting is Friday. This is this is Tuesday.

Mr. Joel Becker 32:21

Right? Exactly. It should be you don't have to have it like drafted and ready to, you know, be sat down submitted. But the list should be compiled by Friday. That's what I'm saying.

Dr. David Edwards 32:33

Well, we have, we can just start Friday. Right? We have a workshop.

Charles Green 32:41

Yeah, let's start. I

Dr. Sean Devlin 32:42

would say the ones we don't submit, we put on the ones for you know, working on

Charles Green 32:48

talking about them in the workshop.

Kathleen Peele 32:53

So we finished the last two though, then we know what to do.

Dr. Sean Devlin 32:58

Temporary, special restricted certificates.

Dr. David Edwards 33:03

Have we ever done it? No. Get rid of it. Give it to the governor?

Dr. Bruce Fong 33:09

I would send it if I was me. It was up to me. You would what? I'd send it if it was up to me to be stricken from the NDC.

Dr. David Edwards 33:17

And then number 19. I would take off the list temporary special tickets context. I don't think we've ever done that.

Dr. Bruce Fong 33:26

No, we haven't done it. But I gotta let you know there's in the legislature right now there's a bill out to give special licensures for those who didn't get into residency to have a ability to work under a physician. So that's a temporary license that's up for vote. Wait,

Dr. David Edwards 33:42

that might be good. Yeah. For MDS DEOs.

Dr. Bruce Fong 33:46

MDS and do is it's anybody who didn't match to a residency program may now be allowed in the state of Nevada to work under a physician like an apprenticeship, but it does not count for postgraduate training

Dr. David Edwards 33:57

classes that have to do with HMD. Well, we have

Dr. Bruce Fong 34:01

something kind of in place there. So I'm not sure if you want to strike that because that might be the way that it's gonna go in the future for a special license. But it's up to you. I mean, we've never done it. That's why I tend I tend to agree with you just a strike it but I've just want to give you a fair warning. There's something in the legislature now that may change that.

Dr. Sean Devlin 34:19

The Senate for reworking or rewarding.

Dr. Bruce Fong 34:24

Yeah, you guys got more than 10. That's what we did. Yeah. For you guys. I'm gonna apologize. I have to go off and get my daughter. So you guys, I just want to say thank you to every one of you, for all your reference said and I'm just it's a tough thing you guys have been meeting in over a year and you've covered a lot of stuff tonight. And Sean, thanks for pushing this through and everybody, all your hard work. And I'll see you guys Friday at the workshop. And we'll stay in touch. Thanks guys. Out there. Mr. Becker. I left my phone number on your friend and one of the private messages. Yeah,

Mr. Joel Becker 34:55

I saw that. Thank you very much. Thanks. Take care. Bye bye. Okay,

Dr. David Edwards 35:01

so we're done with the list. Yeah, yeah. Yeah. Like make a motion that the ones we took off the list are the ones who leave an analyst or Mr. Becker, can we just go with the list?

Mr. Joel Becker 35:18

I don't know. You said the word lost 17 times in that sentence and my head is spinning. What was clutch? All right, what I would do at this point is make a motion to go forward and accept the list that Charles has in front of him of the recommendations to be struck to go into the report for Friday, the governor, them to

Charles Green 35:46

make a recommendation that I take this list and put it together and have it for the workshop on Friday.

Dr. Sean Devlin 35:53

That's your motion, Charles.

Charles Green 35:55

That's my motion.

Dr. Sean Devlin 35:56

Okay. Do we have a second?

35:58

I second.

Dr. Sean Devlin 36:00

Okay, motion. Second is we have a motion to create this list that will include strike herbal sections of the NAC as well as those to be amended to be presented at the workshop and then ultimately to the governor's office. Do I have an eye for those who are in agreements with this action? Aye. It's unanimous motion moves forward. Thank you.

Charles Green 36:22

All right, number nine. Got some good news for you here discussed, discussed relevant submission of SB 336. On Nevada legislature. Dr. Earnshaw put that together for us. I got wind of that today that was passed. And that is a good thing. Are we all clear on what 336 did and what it does? Do you have any questions? Dr. Edwards on that you said you were

Mr. Joel Becker 36:49

later saying and I'm sorry. Was there a vote on the record to approve the list that's going into the Yes. There was a vote on the record. I'm sorry. I

Dr. David Edwards 36:58

apologize. No. No, that's okay. So my only concern on the

Charles Green 37:07

sp three, three. You know what that is? Dr. Edwards. Can we explain it to you here, and he doesn't understand it.

Dr. David Edwards 37:14

Certainly understand it. Certainly do. So I want to be clear here. We've got we've got five DEOs and eight MDS report. This bill seems to talk about dentists, nurses DEOs. But doesn't talk about the MDS. Chiropractor, the MD 30 codified

Charles Green 37:40

so that's not the issue here. Just me,

Dr. David Edwards 37:43

buddy. No, we're not in our NRS 636 30. The MD law.

Charles Green 37:50

The reason I can I guess, that

Dr. David Edwards 37:53

was passed was to protect MDS from an overreaching MD board. I don't know of anything in NRS 630 That protects MDS. Like this is protecting the Dios because if you look at who else we can license to HPs, you got physician's assistant, psychologist, physical therapist, occupational therapist. This is not prohibiting their board. I'm saying if you're functioning under within the limits of that license, that board can't say you're not that that's my comment. It seems to be too narrow.

Charles Green 38:36

Do you like it but you wouldn't want to would want to broaden it?

Dr. David Edwards 38:40

I'd love it if they put it into every Healing Arts statute, that if you're practicing witchcraft, the Acupuncture Board can't come after you if you're saying within within the NRS of witchcraft. Because the whole point of homeopathy being licensed in Nevada, was because the Founding Fathers had convinced the legislature to do this. We're all run out of other states.

Charles Green 39:07

So I can say you're for SB 336.

Dr. David Edwards 39:12

As it's written now. No, I'm not.

Charles Green 39:16

Okay, now, that's not the Motorhead, when you say not written now and a little confused here. You know, this is in reference to, to yourself, he's saying, Shawn, I do.

Dr. Sean Devlin 39:26

Yeah. So he, he would like to see something that had a broader entanglement of bringing in like the MD board, so it knows that it can't tread on the HMD practice. It has no supervisory rights. It has no right to revoke an MD license. So it can't tamper with what an HMD is doing because they don't have the purview nor the expertise to even comment on it.

Dr. David Edwards 39:46

And personally, they have done it to me.

Dr. Sean Devlin 39:49

They they are they have overstepped many doctors rights, unfortunately,

Dr. David Edwards 39:55

right. They just told me

Charles Green 39:59

Doc To roil you, would you mind if I get you to weigh in on this, sir? Oh,

Dr. David Edwards 40:05

I lost again. Where am I?

40:09

Sure. Am I? Yeah, we hear you. Yeah. Yeah, you're good. And we lose Dunker oil.

Charles Green 40:21

I still see him here, Dan. He's, he is very knowledgeable. Yep. And you can address it. I don't I can't.

40:29

Oh, well, okay.

Charles Green 40:36

A little bit trouble hearing you then. Let's see here.

40:41

Are you better? They're better. Okay. So as it was put together for the customer

40:57

he's going to be sideways, I guess.

Charles Green 41:04

Right now you're perfect. Okay, he's just fine.

41:08

So SB 336, was designed to address the SDKs familiar with that. So the way it works in the law is it should be unethical and immoral to go after somebody that's dual licensed, practicing the jurisdiction of that board. But the do board is able to do it, whereas the MD board would not be able to do it. Contrary to what you're discussing, the MD board actually has it codified in their law that they are not allowed to discipline other dual licensed providers when their pressure the jurisdiction of their secondary license. So we had this, this issue, Senator Ornish on I had the issue research by the Legislative Counsel bureau. And essentially what they came up with is that unless the legislature specifically prohibits this type of behavior, then it's assumed that it's allowed. And that's why it became necessary for this legislation to be introduced. It's addressing the boards that have jurisdiction over prescription rights. So that's why it's not as broad as what Dr. Edwards is talking about. Because initially, I wanted to put it in the interest 6.9. So it affected all the boards. But primarily, this was a this was an issue of the use of prescription. So homeopaths have the right to use prescriptions in a in a different way. Right, they can use IPT, for example. And the issue with Dr. Eslinger was, well you're not practicing like a conventional oncologist and therefore we're going to discipline you. So that's the loophole we're trying to close, we can't count on common sense anymore to dominate in the boards, we have to specifically spell out in the law that that type of behavior is illegal. So what Charles is was saying earlier is that SB three through six has already passed the Senate today unanimously as amended. So it was also amended to include the Nevada Rural Hospital Association, we work with him because they have a similar issue with the CR-NAs. They can't get the doctors to supervise him in these rural hospitals where they're delivering babies. And so it was amended to allow them to practice independently. That's something you should think about for your APA ages that could that can be corrected with the simple amendment to a bill in the the legislature that's addressing some type of medical issue. You don't have to wait two years to put formulas through something to change the NRS. Because that's already been done and passed today. And we'll be going to the assembly, most likely to become law because it's totally completely reasonable. It's common sense. It's not really treading on anybody's ability to govern their licensees. So we're not taking power away from anybody. We're simply we're simply generalizing to the other boards that have some prescription rights, what the MDS are already prohibited from doing so that's really the longest shorter one.

Dr. David Edwards 43:58

Dr. Rowe, could you give me the NRS 630 that says they can't do that. They certainly plowed through me and said they certainly can.

44:05

I can send it to you. I. When Charles and I went up there to the legislature, we presented the bill to the Senate Commerce Labor Committee. And we also submitted our statements in writing for the record. And I outlined that for them aware that that's enumerated and I can email that to you so you can have a copy of

Dr. David Edwards 44:24

would appreciate that because they didn't have a copy of it. Okay, thank you.

Charles Green 44:30

We'll make sure you get it. It's called he was a Nike 98 by the way. And I had the pharmacy board challenge me on that. And I sent him a letter and that shut him up real fast.

Dr. David Edwards 44:42

Yes, he they work in cahoots, they they came after me and when I said no, no, I'm under 630 This wouldn't give a shit. We're gonna give us the record

Charles Green 44:52

not anymore. Well, you could put this in in your book and you can use this you are codify it You can only salutely Use whatever modality you think proper.

Dr. David Edwards 45:06

Okay, I'd like to just like to have it.

45:11

Sir. Yeah. Because SB 336 was actually the wording was actually designed after what's already in, in our s? Is it? 630? What are what are the mid 30s? In these? Yeah, yeah. So it was it was written basically to mirror what's already in the law for them?

Dr. David Edwards 45:31

Who I'd sure like to see it. But yeah, he sent it to me. I appreciate that.

45:37

Yeah, no problem.

Charles Green 45:40

It was written by Brenda, who is now head of the LCB. Just for your FYI.

Dr. David Edwards 45:45

And Erdas. She wrote it. Yeah. Brenda, what did she write? Yes,

Charles Green 45:50

it was. He wrote it in 1998. It was one of them. And I'm not an attorney. But it was an eloquent read. And she pretty much puts the AGs office that had redacted it into place, and you will read it and you will be very proud of what she did. She did a great job. And I did it. And now she's the head.

Dr. David Edwards 46:10

It's in NRS 630, or in our 6296 30?

Charles Green 46:13

A?

46:15

Yeah. You know, a six, three,

Charles Green 46:18

or 630? Excuse me,

Dr. David Edwards 46:19

it is in 630. Yes. Okay. I'd sure like to see that.

Dr. Sean Devlin 46:29

That's, that's well, because Thank you, Dan, for bringing us up to speed on that beautiful job

Charles Green 46:34

on that piece of ledger. So

46:36

we've been we've been asked to go back up there to present it to the assembly, Congress Labor Committee when it comes up for for a hearing. So that's, that's where it's at right now. So it's again, passed the Senate unanimously, and it's headed over to the other side.

46:52

Awesome. Strong work.

Charles Green 46:56

All right. We need to vote on that. So we're all for that. I'm

Dr. Sean Devlin 46:59

assuming you're gonna make a motion. Charles, I want to make a motion

Charles Green 47:03

that we all are in favor of SB 336. As it stands now, of a second as a Senate Bill,

Dr. David Edwards 47:15

point of information. Dr. Worrell said is it was amended. So we're going to vote for it as amended. Where it is now.

Charles Green 47:28

Okay, especially.

Dr. Sean Devlin 47:29

Yeah, we're just supporting it. You know, like,

47:32

I got, yeah. Second, it's

Dr. Sean Devlin 47:37

awesome. So there's a motion made, that we're going to support the Yep, it's on the floor recently submitted SB 336. To the Nevada State Legislature as amended. Do we have a vote in the affirmative? please say aye. Aye. Aye. Sounds unanimous. So we'll move forward with the supporting of that bill. Fantastic. Alright, Mr. Green, you're on again.

Charles Green 48:00

Well, thank you. Now, I guess it's my turn to talk about a legislative mandate SB 98. We've got a couple of issues there. One of them is our name has changed to protect the innocent here. The word state is no longer in our board. I'm having trouble with the bank saying that we need a vote on record from this board stating that we all affirm the new change in our name to Nevada board of homeopathic medical examiners from the Nevada State homeopathic board

Dr. Sean Devlin 48:37

for the state of Nevada. So your motion or

Charles Green 48:44

I make a motion. Well, one other thing we have make a motion with included in this will be that we need to change our seal from take the word state out of our seal. So I have to have another seal made by the printing company up in Carson City. So so the new people coming aboard will get licensed under the under the proper name. So I make a motion to pass those two items. One for the Wells Fargo account and the second for the seal, the state seal.

Dr. Sean Devlin 49:29

Alright, so what I hear is we have a motion to acknowledge that our name has changed from the state of Nevada board of homeopathic medical examiners to the Nevada board of homeopathic medical examiners, as per SB 98. And that would be reflected with a change in our Wells Fargo bank account name on the specific checking account as well as the new board seal which would be garnered in Carson City. Do we have a second for this motion?

49:55

I'll second it.

Dr. Sean Devlin 49:57

Motion has been seconded so we'll take a vote all those in favor. favor of this motion, please say aye. Aye. Aye. Okay, sounds like it passes unanimously. So we'll move forward with documenting that for both the bank and for the board seal office in Carson.

Charles Green 50:14

Okay, and now we'll move on to Agenda Item Number 11. Discussion of a legislative sunset committee. I went up, and I'll keep it brief because the meeting is late. And I submitted a several page document 1233 Actually, several just three page document to the there was my report to the members of the sunsets, subcommittee and the legislative commission, and I made that may 18 of 22. And it was essentially the scope of it is Senate Bill mandate as it deals with the upon review of SB 98. As written including the guide memorandum, the Attorney General's Office dated January 19 1920, or 2021. The purpose of this presentation is to update members of the sunset Subcommittee on the progress in improving the function and performance of the board. This report is a follow up review from the June 26 2020 meeting I attended, reporting on the status of the Nevada board on Nevada homeopathic board as this date to this subcommittee, under 1983 NRS 630 A and 2019 SB 98. The responsibility of the Board may remains regulate the practice of homeopathic medicine in the state of Nevada, the original intent

for Nevada, revised statute 638 was to include complementary and alternative medicine. And I went on to discuss why we have this board in relation to SB 98 of 2016 and compiled by the Senate to improve the board's function. SB 98, highlights to implement changes in the NRS name change for the board, which we discussed. SB 98 amended membership of the board amendment, the power of the president of the board, amended the fees related to licensing and certificate certification by the board. SB 98. disbands the then current board, with the goal of new appointment by the governor leaving outgoing members in place to unlimited custodial responsibility. The new board installed July 9 to 2021 has been reduced to six members. There are currently four members appointed and two vacancies. I talked about the age of the board. And I can supply you any member that would want a copy of my reply to them on this agenda. And I'll leave it at that. So

Dr. Sean Devlin 52:56

great, Charles, thank you for sharing that. I know you spent some time there. And it sounds like it was a constructive engagement.

Charles Green 53:02

very constructive. Yes. Yes. We've got to gotta get members.

Dr. David Edwards 53:07

Mr. Green. What's your sense? Are we still in the doghouse? Are you trying to?

Charles Green 53:12

No, I sense in talking to the governor's office and talk to these people, they're, they're in favor of our board. We're alternative for the state of Nevada, I'm working hard to see that, you know, we can't afford anything. Unfortunately, we have a lobbyist. We have nothing. I met. I'm everything. And I'm working hard to do all this. But I do have businesses run myself. And I want to see the sport succeed. And I'm doing everything in my power just to do that. I'm trying to get the board to step up a little bit here and get everybody involved and make some wonderful things happen for this board. It's a 38 year old board, I believe in it. My heart is into it. As you can see. I've inundated you with a lot of information. I apologize. It was too much. But I'm just trying to get the point across that we are an entity. And we need to and you brought this up many years ago Dr. Edwards, we look at the board in Arizona, they didn't they had a money problem. They brought on acupuncturist, we don't have that here, nor do we want to, we want to bring on nature paths. You've mentioned that many, many times over the years. So we'd like to move forward on trying to get naturopathic, us, licensed in our state, and it's going to take us until the next legislative session to do that. But that's the things that we want to work for. So we want to be the homeopathic slash naturopathic board. And of course we have in our mandate complementary in medicine. The problem is if you change the name and put that in there, everything I've read historically, is rejected by the Senate when you talk about alternative or inter graded medicine. It's historically the senators don't like that. But we've got two professional groups, one group being in homeopathic medical doctors, your guys doing that. And the second would be naturopathic doctors who we just brought two of them online today. I can get the national organizations to support us in this. And we can move forward and making this happen. Dan has been instrumental in helping me get this stuff done. Dr. Andrews, I'd like you to help us with this and get this stuff done. You have a lot institutional knowledge. And so so I'm excited about seeing the sport, do some good work on healing medicines in the in the next legislature with nature pass. So that's 2020. Do we need to vote on that? I don't know what I don't have the agenda in front of me.

Dr. Sean Devlin 55:48

That's a information only Yeah. Dr. Edwards. It would be the next session, I think. Yeah. So I know, I know, Dan had was trying to push on that. And I just think the stars didn't align for us in a short period of time.

Dr. David Edwards 56:02

I can tell you who's working against you behind the scenes on broadening naturopaths gonna be the medical board. Yeah. That's Yes. Senators. He's talking about this. He's the one integrative medicine. That's to be me. Yeah.

Dr. Sean Devlin 56:18

Well, I certainly think we can discuss this for hours, but I think it's something we should have set our intentions on as we move forward. I guess I'm gonna move on to item 12. Charles, is that okay? Yes, please. Okay. So as you guys know, Charles Green, came on the board back in July of 2021. And since that point in time, I've been moved by his dedication and perseverance in holding the secretary treasurer position, he has really left no stone unturned in his pursuit to making his role. Something that matters. And I've been impressed by that. And his constant communication with me and pushing us forward into the 21st century has been commendable. The thing that he's struggled with is that he has not taken any compensation nor taking money out for advocacy that he's paid for on our behalf. And he has proposed here that he would receive some form of potential retroactive compensation that might be due due to his contributions over the past 16 months, and it's laid out pretty clearly here. And then also in the attachments, he's included some information, specifically, the requests that he would get compensated from January of 22 till April of 23, approximately 16 months, that doesn't include the three to four months that he was very active in the end of 2021. So he's asked me for approximately \$150 a day, it's one day a week for 69 weeks, proximately \$10,380. And in reimbursement, he just lists on the NRS 630, a 160, the salary of members and per diem, allowance, travel etc. Allows for him to take up a certain amount of salary and monies for the works that he has done. And those are listed in attachments as well. The other things that he's done is he actually has employed people through his office, specifically an IT manager who helped coordinate this call and using the zoom technology tonight. It's an individual I'm aware of, that I worked with from the beginning. And he's trying to get some compensation for the wages he's paid between January 22 to April of 23. It's listed there, he wants about 50% of those wages compensated for so approximately about \$12,870. And then an executive assistant who's been working with for what, six to eight months now, Charles, I want to step in for Okay, so he's looking to request about 75% of her compensation for wages paid between February 2022 and April of 2023, about 15 months worth approximately 19,000. You can see the total reimbursement amount is around 43,000. I want to open this up for discussion, at least this component of retroactive pay to the rest of the board. And if you guys have any questions, you can direct them to Charles

Dr. David Edwards 59:14

can we legally do that pay rent?

Dr. Sean Devlin 59:17

I don't I don't know.

Charles Green 59:20

I do. It's in reimbursement for salaries as secretary treasurer. It is it is NRS dot one for all offers applications. It's interest 630 8.160. And and for reimbursement to salaries of consultants and clerical people. That's 638 190. So you can absolutely do this. And I'm certainly not trying to bust the board. I put in a lot more time and money than what I'm asking for. And I understand this will be challenging to the board because we only got 50,000 in the bank. Sean had a concern can you get the bills paid was 7000 Well, my consideration would be I put me on the backburner. I mean, we've got the got love the EGS

office, we put them on the backburner for a long time, you can put that you can actually get that after them. I don't care about me personally. But I do have a required to get my employees paid. And I've had to, I set in a copy of what I've had to pay my employees. That is, that is a record. That is a fact. And I like to get some of that compensation back for this hard work that they've done. These are These are professional people, they've done a great job for this board. I've compensated them, but I'd like to get some of that back. And I think it's only fair at this point in time.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:00:46

Any questions or comments? Dr. Edwards, Kathy?

Dr. David Edwards 1:00:50

My feeling is because it's so late. This is a complex thing, because the board's simply can't afford this. So is it possible we could table this for another meeting so we can get into into the weeds of you know, because I was understanding exactly, I'm sorry.

Charles Green 1:01:09

Go ahead, finish your statement,

Dr. David Edwards 1:01:10

that there had to be an independent bookkeeper that verified all this. So the board knows that the money's going, where no receipts and stuff like that, and it's awful late, we've been doing all sorts of stuff, and that's my bedtime.

Charles Green 1:01:26

Here, we've only \$5,000 it, you know, to run the board, quite frankly, I'm not going to let my employees go forward and pay them to work on the board's issues, when I'll have the need to work on my issues. And my companies, I have three companies. So I need to be compensated, and I'm not to put the board under pressure. But if I've got Richmond in accounting offices in Carson City, the shows to run this board would be between 46 and \$64,000. I've done it for obviously a lot less 32. So I've saved the board about 50% of that not to mention the fact that we don't have an executive director, that executive director was paid \$24,000 a year for multiple years. Okay, so if we just had her and not all this other stuff, of course, the electronics that is being incorporated now, essentially offered for me. So I know I don't want to table this, we need a decision. Okay.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:02:29

Kathy, do you have any comments or considerations? Questions?

Kathleen Peele 1:02:34

You know, they've done a lot of work, obviously, I guess my only concern was we as the board were never really asked. And I don't know if we had to be asked if this was okay, and approve it before it even went into action? Or is this something this is like, after the fact no asking of the board. And we're supposed to now make a decision.

Charles Green 1:03:02

You look at the fundamental numbers. And you know, I've got it in front of me here. Reimbursement for salaries and consultations and clerical staff. I am allowed to hire people to do that stuff. If I hire an outside person.

Kathleen Peele 1:03:17

I didn't know that. That was my question. I guess I was asking, that is legal to do all that.

Dr. David Edwards 1:03:24

I'd have to certainly I don't agree with Miss Peale in the sense that I think within reason the law is gonna allow you to do what you need to do a secretary. But these are large amounts of money. And I really believe that should have been brought before the board is at least in the January meeting that was supposed to happen according to the policy and bylaws, whatever. So that we can make an assessment is can we afford all this? That's my consultant. Journalism. Charles,

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:03:57

let me just say something because we had some conversations beforehand. And my concern is when I saw this number, it's a shocking number, right? It's a big number. And my take on it is, is that both Dr. Edwards and Kathy, have extremely legitimate concerns we talked about, we could potentially table this but to take action on it at some point after everything's been reviewed, I think is a reasonable one. Number two is I don't want you to feel triggered or defensive about this. I want you to know that you're valued, like above and beyond this money and what you've done here is been stellar period. Okay. However, the survival of the board depends on two things, money in the bank to pay or other bills that we must pay and paying you because you need to be compensated appropriately. But they these these other board members are on target with the comments that they've made. aid. And it is a really big number. And I think that it may need a little more vetting. Before we look at what a compensation would look like. I think Tabeling it is a reasonable and rational thing if the timeline between now and the next meeting is short. But with that being said, looking at authorizing money moving forward is going to be an easier pill to swallow now, then retroactive pay tonight. Does that make sense?

Charles Green 1:05:31

Well, if you want to table that portion of it, I'm fine with that. But let's talk about moving forward.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:05:38

But this is the only portion we're talking about right now. And so it mean, I think it's very reasonable. I think, you know, this is like a big sticker price. And I think anybody that, you know,

Charles Green 1:05:49

18 months, we're not talking about 12. It's

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:05:51

listen, I completely understand, I completely understand, however, it is, it's a lot. And it would be nice to dive into it a little bit more and get more specifics. And I think we owe it to the board to be as transparent as possible. And I think this

Charles Green 1:06:08

here's the problem I have with, I got work to do now, tomorrow, how do I pay my employees? I'm not paying them. So they're gonna give me some

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:06:15

understanding? Well,

Kathleen Peele 1:06:18

can I say something here? Please? Guide? I don't have a problem. You know, I think we can table some of it, I wouldn't have a problem with reimbursing him. The 10,003 ad for his work that he's done. I know, that doesn't help the two people he's brought on, but I just think it, we need to look at that a little bit more closely. Maybe we can reimburse them a certain amount, but not the full amount retroactive.

Or we can figure out going forward. I think we can we have a happy medium here so that he doesn't feel so? You know, abused? Yeah, exactly.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:07:11

It's really abused. Right. Okay. Well, Dr. Edwards, what's your what's your thoughts on all this?

Dr. David Edwards 1:07:18

I think my brain shut off. Like, no, no, please.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:07:20

We need your brain.

Dr. David Edwards 1:07:22

I can't process this anymore. Not sure what Mr. P was saying. I would have gone the other way and said, Okay, if it's a matter of paying the employees, maybe we could figure something out tonight. How immediate is to get Mr. Green the money? To me,

Charles Green 1:07:41

I don't care if

Kathleen Peele 1:07:43

I agree with that. I just I think the more

Dr. David Edwards 1:07:46

important, okay. I agree with that. At least till the next meeting, to get the employees taken care of in some way till we could figure out, you know, we're broke.

Charles Green 1:08:01

We're \$50,000 in the bank. We're not broke. Yeah. 20 When I started, you have 50 now. So that's

Dr. David Edwards 1:08:08

what we're talking about turning reimbursements. 43. And we still owe How much do we owe the AG?

Charles Green 1:08:15

111,900 some dollars?

Dr. David Edwards 1:08:17

I'm sorry, I couldn't hear

Charles Green 1:08:20

how much \$111,000? Are we working on that?

Dr. David Edwards 1:08:23

Are we paying it down?

Mr. Joel Becker 1:08:25

I don't just this Deputy Attorney General Becker for the record. I wouldn't concern yourself with that. Right now. I've, from what I've been hearing deals have been working out and they're finding ways to help you guys out with that. If not, I don't want to say they're going to they're going to write it off as a

bad debt. But I think that they're trying to find ways to make it as easy on the board as possible. Though, I don't think that should be a consideration right now.

Dr. David Edwards 1:08:58

Well, I've heard that before. So

Mr. Joel Becker 1:09:03

I never said that. about

Dr. David Edwards 1:09:09

not seeing you said it.

Charles Green 1:09:10

Let me see what a misstatement. I'm more worried about my employees. One, two going forward. You're asking me to do this work. You know, for tomorrow, I've got to pay these people. And it ain't coming off my die moving forward. I'm just putting that out there right now. So I get paid, let somebody has to hire an employee and have this people do the work. I can't afford to pay him on my dime. So if you want more work, then, you know, I asked for 2500 Moving forward, I don't think that's unreasonable. That will at least give me some funds to pay them to do the work that you're requiring me to do tomorrow and the next day, the next day and the next day.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:09:46

So with that being said, there's three sections here and we can kind of break it down. So there's the secretary Treasury reimbursement. There's sort of this it slash executive assistant reimbursement you And then there's moving forward. And that can be a separate motion. So if you guys are interested in generating some motions around these sections, then we can at least take action on those things.

Charles Green 1:10:09

I certainly can't vote on that. So I'm certainly at the mercy of the board here.

Dr. David Edwards 1:10:19

Now, now, okay, so there are three separate actions here. You're saying, potentially, yeah. Because I see the one for Mr. Green, and then the other two are lumped under one.

1:10:32

They are no, there.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:10:34

Oh, they are lumped under one. Yep. Those are the employees. So

Kathleen Peele 1:10:38

well, it's it and then executive assistant to me, that's to once compensated at 75% of wages, the other 50%

Dr. David Edwards 1:10:49

You're put together under one agenda?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:10:52

Yeah, but you can make a motion just like, hey, we'll reimburse it, you know, we'll motion to executive system we'll get money. And these, this, these numbers, even though they're put in here, this is open

for you can discuss this. And then I think the third one is the the one that is a pretty good interest to Charles is because he's he's feels like he's doing like the yeoman's work and not getting compensated. And it's been quite a while for him doing this. So.

Kathleen Peele 1:11:22

Okay, well, I think we need to discuss this. I'm open to to providing competent some compensation. I don't know the full reimbursement at this moment in time before we really go into it. But I think they should be compensated for some of it for sure. It just would have been nice to know for sure. Would it

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:11:46

be would it be easier to start off with looking at the regular monthly compensation, so that could be shored up for him? So he has some because I do believe that Charles is a little fearful of kind of maybe being abandoned financially, and having some exposure that way.

Kathleen Peele 1:12:03

So you'd like a motion saying that we can compensate the 2500 a month going forward?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:12:10

That's the number he put forth? I would say, if that's reasonable, then you can make a motion to do that.

Dr. David Edwards 1:12:16

Before you make the motion, I have a question. Is it possible, Mr. Green to go back and give us an idea of what the previous Secretary treasurer's have been paid? Those are?

Charles Green 1:12:30

No, it's 24,000 a year.

Dr. David Edwards 1:12:32

That's the executive director. Am I mistaken?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:12:36

You're correct. That they? I know one of them kind of played the role of Secretary Treasurer, but the lady that most recently held the executive director position, that's what is her compensation?

Charles Green 1:12:48

Yeah. For that, and the,

Dr. David Edwards 1:12:50

what I'm saying is, how much was secretary treasurer create in passports? So we have some kind of reference point here to to?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:13:01

That's challenging, unfortunate, because I don't know exactly if some of those Secretary treasurer's were actually paid. They may have gotten compensation for expenses, but I think it was primarily a volunteer. Yeah, so it's like, much like the president, they don't take a paycheck, I don't get paid by the board. So the work we do is sort of donating, right, we donate our time to participate in the board. A Charles is in a very unique situation, because he's taken on the role of the executive director. Because of the situation we have monetarily the transitioning of the board, he didn't have a person at the time to sort of pick up the reins and move with. So I think his his consideration should be a little different.

Dr. David Edwards 1:13:44

I'm back to Mrs. Peel saying, shouldn't this have come before the board before we got here with this?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:13:51

Absolutely. Absolutely. It would have been perfect if we could have gotten this to you a while ago. But unfortunately, Charles was on the treadmill, and wasn't really working to get some of these numbers in front of us in a timely manner, in a timely manner.

Kathleen Peele 1:14:10

And me too? Well, I'll make I think I should, I would like to make a motion that we go ahead and at least start with the 2500 a month moving forward.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:14:25

We have a second on that. Or

Dr. David Edwards 1:14:28

I'd like to amend that motion that we do that for a period of time until we can come back and revisit this and decide what we're actually going to do.

Kathleen Peele 1:14:37

I like that. Okay, there's

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:14:40

a time limit on it. So

Kathleen Peele 1:14:43

definitely needs to be on our next meeting. And we have to need to have a meeting sooner than later. Yeah.

Dr. David Edwards 1:14:49

I think we have to have a meeting as soon as we can after the workshop.

1:14:53

I agree.

Dr. David Edwards 1:14:56

But what I'm saying is what The governor's office, I don't think anything's gonna get done the legislature's over July. I just don't think so. So we have to come to some kind of terms of what can Mr. Green live with, given his current situation until we can sort this out and figure out what to do. Sorry. So that Mr. Green

Charles Green 1:15:25

you're looking for comment from me? Yeah. I think emotion or what are we doing?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:15:31

No, no, it's just like, what monetary numbers? Are you looking at monthly moving forward for like the next 90 days? You know, like, what does that look like for you?

Charles Green 1:15:42

You know, I certainly didn't want to throw this on you all at once. But it is what it is I my, my staff has done a lot of work here. And I've had to compensate. And I've had to pay their withholdings I've had to pay. They're all things associated with that. So I'd like some compensation for that. I think moving forward, I don't think \$2,500 is a ridiculous number. Now, is that something you're paying me? Or is it something that I can use for clerical work? Let's define that. What What is it, you know, the 2500. If I'm reading reimbursement for salaries of consultants and clerical staff, Nevada Revised Statutes 631 90 Office of employees, the Board may maintain offices in as many locations in the state as it finds necessary to carry out the provisions of this chapter. Employee, attorneys, investigators, hiring officers, experts, administration, consultants, or clerical personnel necessary for the discharge of these duties. And that was amended in 1987 to 058. And so that's all it says. So yeah, we're you're allowed to reimburse me?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:16:49

Yeah, I think obviously, if Secretary Treasurer and staff, I don't think that's outrageous that you would pay your staff. So if that, I mean, we're asking is that \$2,500 a month, a good place to start, and then have a conversation once more information comes forward. So both Dr. Edwards and Kathy can be comfortable?

Charles Green 1:17:09

You know, all the years that I've put in this board to now, you know, my appointment, I'm certainly not trying to profit on the board. I just build the board has created a lot of bills for me, and I'm just trying to get them. I shouldn't be responsible for been paying the board's bills. That's all I'm saying.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:17:27

No, and we don't expect that. We don't expect that. But we do barely have time. So we have Well, the fact is, the fact is, is that you made a conscious choice not to cut checks. Okay, so that was that's on you. So

Charles Green 1:17:43

I don't want to take money until we have a meeting on this and talk about it. I understood

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:17:47

it was reasonable and normal expenses of doing business as a board would have been acceptable. And you could have explained over

Charles Green 1:17:55

time I can I can I can provide you the information for the next board meeting on what the Ag saw or the OAG, the accounting office of Nevada would say it would cost her waterboard like this per year. You know, we're in solid where we don't make enough money.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:18:08

Right. So, so it well, you know, are we going to kill the golden goose. In other words, we only are taking in money from a certain number of licensees and the certificate holders, we have a certain revenue, what 22,000 Maybe a year that we bring in maybe a little more, but really at the end of the day to cut a check for you know, 43,000 and then now paying 2500 a month to follow. We're going to assume funds and I'm just telling

Charles Green 1:18:33

you what I put out there. Okay, we 1000 cabling, if you never pay it, okay, fine. But I've got employees that need to be paid. We hear this move this forward. The work I do tomorrow needs to

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:18:46

be understood. Understood. So I believe my board comrades here are looking to make a motion to allow you to get reimbursed on a monthly schedule for at least a few months, until we can fine tune the financials in such a way that we're comfortable. That makes sense.

1:19:04

Yes.

Charles Green 1:19:08

Okay, certainly my approach is not to burden the board. But I want to start at the board had 20,000 in the bank now it has 50. That's because

Kathleen Peele 1:19:17

we all paid our money for licensure. Because we all pay.

Charles Green 1:19:24

Well, you paid with there's expenses that weren't done your expenses need to be more than \$5,000. That's all you spent.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:19:31

Yeah, we understand that we understand. I just I think there's a little bit of a disconnect. It's like, you know,

Charles Green 1:19:37

I had to report to the, to the boards of commissions on my payroll, and our payroll was zero. That's why I reported Sure. What boards have payroll,

Kathleen Peele 1:19:49

you know, can we move forward because it's it's very late. This is a huge agenda that we undertook and I think from now on, it's going to be We need to know. Yeah. Thank you.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:20:03

Yes, no. So if you want to make a motion, great, and then we can move along.

1:20:08

Dr. Edwards.

Dr. David Edwards 1:20:11

Before we do one question is You mean, right now you're getting all the past money, you own everything? What is it costing to run the board per month? Best I'll give you you know,

Charles Green 1:20:23

I'll give you a break out of that. I'll give you I'll give you the board's history on payments for as long back as you want to go.

Dr. David Edwards 1:20:31

I just want to know, as of now, where you are now what does it cost the board to operate per month?
The IT people, the Assistant,

Charles Green 1:20:41

you know, you've got a copy, you know what, I'm going to pay those folks, you can divide that up by the number of months, and you'll have a number for that. So I don't understand what you're asking. So if 100,000 a month cost, I can certainly supply you.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:20:56

So less than two grand a month.

Dr. David Edwards 1:21:00

Is that what you say? I

Kathleen Peele 1:21:01

don't think it's unreasonable to go going forward to pay the 2500 a month for the next three months. And and in the meantime, set it on the next agenda, and and figure out what we're going to do and how we're going to do it.

Charles Green 1:21:20

I agree, and I'll provide you as much information as you want on that light. I am the secretary treasurer and I have the data.

Dr. David Edwards 1:21:28

The only thing you don't have is the money. I agree with no, I could

Charles Green 1:21:33

certainly have taken the money. But I certainly didn't do that until we that's why we're here today.

Dr. David Edwards 1:21:40

No, I appreciate that. I appreciate that. But I agree. Board on

Charles Green 1:21:47

all of this. And believe me, I've put a lot more into this than this. This amount here we're talking about.

Kathleen Peele 1:21:52

And we know that Charles, we know that you have you've put a lot of work into this and it shows I want to see it succeed. That's my course. And I think that's what we all want. We want this to succeed. And we want to be able to continue to take care of people in a in a good way. So

Charles Green 1:22:12

just need to know the money's going forward. I'm not footing the bill is all I'm telling you right now. So, you know, 2500 a month will certainly help if the doctor just wants a limited for the next 90 days until we talked and discuss this out. I'm fine with that.

1:22:30

Dr. Edwards, are you good with that?

Dr. David Edwards 1:22:32

I was good with it five minutes ago.

1:22:34

Okay, so let's go with it. Okay, well,

Kathleen Peele 1:22:41

I make a motion that we go ahead and reimburse 2500 a month for the next three months or 90 days and in the meantime, set up a next board meeting and put it on the agenda to discuss further compensation for it and executive manager. And

1:23:06

Secretary Treasurer.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:23:08

Wonderful. Do we have a second? I second? Great. So we have a motion on the floor to authorize \$2,500 a month to be paid to the secretary treasurer and staff that are holding that office for the next 90 days. Can I get an eye in the affirmative? Aye. Okay, motion passes. Yay. All right. All right. So moving on to new business next board meeting, I know that we're probably going to want to talk about these Robert's Rules and possibly updating them to the current one productive doctor, or ag Becker. And so I want I would love to have that on new business for our next board meeting. So if you can write that down there, Charles. And then the education committee where there was some discussion about, you know, prepping or helping test takers prep for their exams. I know Bruce wanted to be involved in that. So possibly talk about forming an actual education committee with real members, forms and add tests.

Kathleen Peele 1:24:13

As one one issue about that, I heard that a test was being was has been given per Charles. And I didn't even know that. So it would be nice to one know about when people are taking tests and too if any of us have input in want to be available to also mentor or help with that.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:24:40

Yes, we I'm so happy to have the committee up. This is great. So we're no that's awesome. Yeah, our goal is to be a little more organized. Charles went out of his way to basically supervise those two test takers down in Vegas that were from Dr. Phil's office. And that was kind of a special arrangement because they were trying to get their applications in And before the end of last year, but yeah, moving forward, it'd be nice to have several set firm dates in the north in the south. And so people will be needed for monitoring, mentoring, etc. Again, I think Dr. Edwards had brought up about looking at forms and attestation, maybe we can bring that up to speed so that there's something that we can all agree with, as far as, you know, possibly avoiding using this notary system. And then again, this a pH nd slash, is there going to be a way to sort of free them up to supervise themselves I, I look at cases, I mean, we have a licensee who's you know, a cardiologist trained in Russia, originally from Lebanon, who's a splendid physician, and just a good practitioner, who has to practice under somebody. And it always kind of irked me because he's really competent, you know, and it'd be nice to see people like that maybe be able to spread their wings and have more autonomy. And we might want to set aside a committee to explore that in more detail. Those are my ideas. And then I guess, as far as the meeting goes, the thought was trying to get back on track and maybe do something in June, possibly late June. And that would keep us within our 90 days. Okay. I was anybody have dates in mind for June?

Kathleen Peele 1:26:13

I know I'm going to be gone the not the last week, but the week before that, probably weekend before that. So I'd have to be like on a Monday or Tuesday meeting. Okay, or maybe the middle of maybe the middle of June would work better.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:26:30

I know. I'm certainly traveling in the middle of June. But the last week of June kind of gets us in before that July. That would be the 90 day cut off. Dr. Edwards, how was your last week of June look. And we can do it this way, again, through through Skype or through zoom?

Kathleen Peele 1:26:44

What about the beginning of the month of July or June?

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:26:50

beginning of the month, I don't have any conflicts. And I think I could easily set aside an evening four or five hours.

Charles Green 1:26:57

Let me chime in here say that. I've got the the my IT guy comes in on Monday and Tuesday. So I hate to tell you, but we've got to limit it for those days to take care of this operation here that you're enjoying today.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:27:14

About June June 6. How does that work for people? Well,

Dr. David Edwards 1:27:20

I have a question. Do you really think we're gonna need all this done in one meeting?

Kathleen Peele 1:27:27

That's what we need to limit that. What's the agenda?

Dr. David Edwards 1:27:31

I'm thinking the meeting? The next meeting should be like, May 26. We need to get this stuff done. I mean, yeah, I mean, I'll take it like may 16. May 23.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:27:45

What do you think?

Mr. Joel Becker 1:27:47

I think we should treat these like meals and do smaller and more often.

Dr. David Edwards 1:27:53

Okay, that's my vote.

Charles Green 1:27:55

All right. So I've tried to do that.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:27:57

What do you guys think? The 16th was the 16th look like for people? 16th or 23rd?

Mr. Joel Becker 1:28:07

May or June? May.

Dr. David Edwards 1:28:10

I'm just trying to give

Charles Green 1:28:13

the comments I have people coming in from Japan. 16th and the 17th. I can do

1:28:21

22nd 23rd. Okay, May 23 works.

Mr. Joel Becker 1:28:27

I'm gonna be able to get an agenda together when time to notice it. Because really, that's only two weeks away.

Dr. David Edwards 1:28:34

May 23. He said 16

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:28:37

Oh, yeah, no. So it's three weeks now three weeks away. We've

Dr. David Edwards 1:28:42

already done all said you can't do it on the 16th. No. 23rd you can or can't do the 23rd

Charles Green 1:28:53

I cannot do it on the 16th or 17th. I can do it on the 23rd. Okay, okay. You wait, you said your IG guys. Not there? No. 23rd Tuesday. Oh, that's, that's four weeks away. All right.

Mr. Joel Becker 1:29:09

May 20 Oh, here we go. I'm sorry. My Calendars kicked all the way to June. All right, May 23. Inshallah.

Dr. David Edwards 1:29:23

So we're going with may 23.

1:29:27

I would say yes.

Dr. Sean Devlin 1:29:29

Um, yes. Yeah. And if you guys would like to. Yeah, if you guys want to get a hold of Charles independently about agenda items that you want to consider. We obviously have the things that we tabled, that'll go on that meeting. But if there's other things you guys want to discuss, please share it with Charles and we can bring them up.

Kathleen Peele 1:29:47

But I think we need to limit the number of agenda items depending on what they are, so that we're not doing a four or five five hour meeting.

Charles Green 1:29:56

We had a governor that has given us mandates and we've had to slice those in